

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/631,303                                       | 07/29/2003  | Jun Zhao             | A6311/T040320US         | 1876             |  |
| 7590 11/02/2004                                  |             |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| Patent Counsel, M/S 2061 APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. |             |                      | MOORE, KARLA A          |                  |  |
| Legal Affairs Department                         |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| P.O. Box 450A<br>Santa Clara, CA                 |             |                      | 1763                    |                  |  |
| Saint State, OH 75052                            |             | •                    | DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | $\rightarrow$  |
|---|--|--|--|----------------|
|   |  | 10/631,303   | ZHAO ET AL.  |                |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |                |
|   |  | Karla Moore  | 1763   |                |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication   |  |  |                |
| Period fe   | or Reply   | The second of th | st man the correspondence dudress  |                |
| THE - Exte<br>after - If the<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mon.  a reply within the statutory minimum operiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become  | ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6.133) | cation.        |
| Status  |  |  |  |                |
| 1)[🖂  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | 29 July 2003   | -  |                |
| 2a)□  |  | This action is non-final.  |  |                |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for al  |  | natters, prosecution as to the more  | te ic          |
| , —   | closed in accordance with the practice un  |  |  | 13 IS          |
| Dienoeiti   | ion of Claims  | 22,000   | 0.5. 11, 100 0.0. 210.   |                |
|   |  |  |  |                |
|   | Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the applic  |  |  |                |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit   | ndrawn from consideration.   |  |                |
|   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |                |
|   | Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected.  | N  |  |                |
|   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |                |
| 8)∐   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a  | nd/or election requirement.  |  |                |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |  |  |                |
| 9)  | The specification is objected to by the Exa  | miner.   |  | •              |
|   | The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 July 2003</u> is/are   |  | piected to by the Examiner   |                |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to  |  |  |                |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co  |  |  | 21 <i>(</i> d) |
| 11)[  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | e Examiner. Note the attac   | hed Office Action or form PTO-152  | )              |
|   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |                |
|   | <del>-</del>   | oien miesikuunda 25 H O (  | 2.0.440(.) (1) (5)   |                |
|   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for<br>☐ All  b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  | eign priority under 35 U.S.C   | J. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |                |
| a)L   |  | aanta haya ha ay uu siisis d   |  |                |
|   | The second depict of the priority docar  |  | A 11 11 11   |                |
|   |  | nents have been received i   | n Application No   |                |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the   |  | en received in this National Stage   |                |
| * 9   | application from the International Bu  |  |  |                |
| 3   | ee the attached detailed Office action for a   | i list of the certified copies r   | not received.  |                |
|   |  |  |  |                |
| Attachment  | (s)  |  |  |                |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) 🔲 Intervie  | w Summary (PTO-413)  |                |
| 2) Notice   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948   | ) Paper t  | No(s)/Mail Date  |                |
| I) [] Inform<br>Paper                                     | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI<br>No(s)/Mail Date  | 3/08) 5) ☐ Notice<br>6) ☐ Other:   | of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |                |
| . Patent and Tra  | ademark Office   |  |  |                |
| OL-326 (Re  | ev. 1-04) Offi   | ce Action Summary  | Part of Paper No./Mail Date  | 1004           |

Art Unit: 1763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 11-13, 15-23, 25, 27, 30-33, 35, 39-41, 43-51, 54-56 and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,820,679 to Yokoyama et al.
- 3. With respect to claims 1, 21, 32 and 49, Yokoyama et al. disclose an apparatus for processing substrates in Figure 13, comprising: an atmospheric coating system (Figures 13 and 17; 205-3; column 22, rows 50-52); a first transfer chamber (center) disposed in said atmospheric coating system; a first substrate handling member (205-10 and 205-12) disposed in said first transfer chamber; a cure system (Figure 13, 201-202 and 209-210, also Figure 7) in communication with said first transfer chamber (through loop transporter Figure 13, 208); a second/cure system transfer chamber (209) disposed in said cure system; a second/cure system substrate handling member (column 25, rows 22-29) disposed in said second/cure system transfer chamber; a loadlock (210) in communication with said second/cure system transfer chamber; a cap system (Figure 13, 206; column 23, rows 41-44; also see Figure 17) in communication with said loadlock chamber; a third/cap system transfer chamber (center chamber) disposed in said cap system; and a third/cap system substrate handling system (inside center chamber) disposed in said third/cap system transfer chamber.

Examiner notes that all the chamber of the apparatus disclosed in Yokoyama et al. are in "communication" with one another via the numerous transferring mechanisms. Examiner also notes the the "cap" system and "cure" system described above and in Yokoyama et al. would be capable of the processes that they are named after. The courts have ruled that a claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the

Art Unit: 1763

claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

- 4. With respect to claims 2, 22, 33 and 50, the atmospheric coating system comprises: one or more coating modules (205-11) in communication with said transfer chamber; and one or more substrate bake modules (205-13, 205-14) in communication with said first transfer chamber.
- 5. With respect to claims 3, 23 and 51, the coating module may comprise a spin-on deposition module (column 22, rows 50-52).
- 6. With respect to claims 5 and 25, said cure system comprises one or more cure chambers (Figure 7, 104-4) in communication with said second transfer chamber.
- 7. With respect to claims 7, 27 and 35, said cure chamber comprises an electron beam radiation source (column 1, rows 49-51).
- 8. With respect to claims 11, 39 and 54, said cap system comprises: one or more processing chambers, each one of said processing chambers defining at least one isolated processing region therein, each processing region is connected with said third transfer chamber (see Figures 13 and 17).
- 9. With respect to claims 12, 40 and 55, a vacuum pump is in fluid communication with said one or more processing chambers (column 35, rows 56-60).
- 10. With respect to claims 13, 41 and 56, said processing region includes a gas distribution assembly disposed therein and each gas distribution assembly disposed therein and each gas distribution assembly receives process gases from one or more gas sources (column 22, rows 47-49 and column 23, rows 41-44).
- 11. With respect to claims 14, 42 and 57, each processing region comprises a plasma system having a RF generator connected with each processing region.
- 12. With respect to claims 15-16, 19-20, 30-31, 43-44, 47-48 and 58-60, while a substrate is being processed in said apparatus (the coat system, the cure system of the cap system), said apparatus is capable of keeping said substrate unexposed in an environment that is external to said apparatus, so as to prevent the exposure of said substrate to an environment external to said apparatus. As seen in the

Art Unit: 1763

Figures, a wafer does not need to leave the system to be processed in all areas of the apparatus described above.

13. With respect to claims 17-20, 45-46 and 48 and limitations that are drawn to specific processing conditions, the courts have ruled that a claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Page 4

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 16. Claims 4, 24 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al. as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 7, 11-13, 15-23, 25, 27, 30-33, 35, 39-41, 43-51, 54-56 and 58-60 above, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,203,619 to McMillan.
- 17. Yokoyama et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed and as described above.
- 18. However, Yokoyama et al. fail to teach one or more substrate cooling modules in communication with said first transfer chamber.

Art Unit: 1763

- 19. McMillan teaches the use of a cooling chamber in a multi chamber apparatus for the purpose of preparing a film for further processing or unloading (column 3, rows 30-32).
- 20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to have provided a cooling chamber in communication with the first transfer chamber in order to prepare a film for further processing or unloading as taught by McMillan.
- 21. Claims 6, 8-10, 26, 28-29, 34, 36-38 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al. as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 7, 11-13, 15-23, 25, 27, 30-33, 35, 39-41, 43-51, 54-56 and 58-60 above, in view of U.S. Patent No. 4, 429,439 to Kobayashi et al.
- 22. Yokoyama discloses the invention substantially as claimed and as described above.
- 23. However, Yokoyama et al. fails to explicitly teach said cure chamber is in fluid communication with a vacuum pump, said cure chamber is in fluid communication with a gas distribution system configured to deliver process gases from one or more gas sources, said cure system further comprises a vacuum pump in fluid communication with said second/cure system transfer chamber or a vacuum pump is in fluid communication with said loadlock chamber.
- 24. Kobayashi et al. discloses a curing apparatus in Figure 6 comprising a curing chamber (11), a loading chamber (12) and a transfer chamber (13) all connected to vacuum pumps and the curing chamber also connected to a gas distribution system for the purpose of providing an apparatus effective in forming high precision patterns on a semiconductor wafer (column 6, rows 8-13 and 29-52).
- 25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to have provided the curing apparatus and the transfer chamber and loading chamber connected that are connected to the curing chamber with a vacuum pump and also to have provided the curing chamber with a gas distribution system in Yokoyama et al. in order to provide an apparatus effective in forming high precision patterns on a semiconductor wafer as taught by Kobayashi et al.

Art Unit: 1763

- 26. Claims 14, 42 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokoyama et al. as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 7, 11-13, 15-23, 25, 27, 30-33, 35, 39-41, 43-51, 54-56 and 58-60 above, in view of U.S. Patent No. 4, 264,393 to Gorin et al.
- 27. Yokoyama et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed and as described above.
- 28. However, Yokoyama et al. does not disclose the specific type of plasma generation means used.
- 29. Gorin et al. teaches the use of a RF plasma generator for the purpose of forming a uniform, plasma (abstract).
- 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to have provided an RF generator as the plasma generation means in Yokoyama et al. in order to form a uniform plasma as taught by Gorin et al.

## Conclusion

31. The *art* made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0196597 A1 to Yamazaki et al. and Japanese Patent No. 2001185355 A to Yamazaki et al. disclose an atmospheric coating system communicating with vacuum processing systems through transfer chambers. Note: The Yamazaki references are not considered "prior" art based on publication dates and filing dates, but is cited it because it has similar subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karla Moore whose telephone number is 571.272.1440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 571.272.1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

km

1 November 2004

P. Hassanzodel
Parviz Hassanzadeh
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763